

Example 3

Prove, from first principles, that the derivative of x^3 is $3x^2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= x^3 \\
 f'(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x+h)^3 - (x)^3}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^3 + 3x^2h + 3xh^2 + h^3 - x^3}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{3x^2h + 3xh^2 + h^3}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(3x^2 + 3xh + h^2)}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (3x^2 + 3xh + h^2) \\
 \text{As } h \rightarrow 0, 3xh &\rightarrow 0 \text{ and } h^2 \rightarrow 0. \\
 \text{So } f'(x) &= 3x^2
 \end{aligned}$$

'From first principles' means that you have to use the definition of the derivative. You are starting your proof with a known definition, so this is an example of a proof by deduction.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x+h)^3 &= (x+h)(x+h)^2 \\
 &= (x+h)(x^2 + 2hx + h^2) \\
 &\text{which expands to give } x^3 + 3x^2h + 3xh^2 + h^3
 \end{aligned}$$

Factorise the numerator.

Any terms containing h, h^2, h^3 , etc will have a limiting value of 0 as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Example 5

Prove that the sum of the first n terms of an arithmetic series is $\frac{n}{2}(2a + (n-1)d)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_n &= a + (a+d) + (a+2d) + \dots \\
 &\quad + (a+(n-2)d) + (a+(n-1)d) \quad (1) \\
 S_n &= (a+(n-1)d) + (a+(n-2)d) + \dots \\
 &\quad + (a+2d) + (a+d) + a \quad (2) \\
 \text{Adding (1) and (2):} \\
 2 \times S_n &= n(2a + (n-1)d) \\
 S_n &= \frac{n}{2}(2a + (n-1)d)
 \end{aligned}$$

Write out the terms of the sum.

This is the sum reversed.

Adding together the two sums.

Problem-solving

You need to learn this proof for your exam.

Example 12

A geometric series has first term a and common difference r . Prove that the sum of the first n terms of this series is given by $S_n = \frac{a(1-r^n)}{1-r}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Let } S_n &= a + ar + ar^2 + ar^3 + \dots + ar^{n-2} + ar^{n-1} \quad (1) \\
 rS_n &= ar + ar^2 + ar^3 + \dots + ar^{n-1} + ar^n \quad (2) \\
 (1) - (2) \text{ gives } S_n - rS_n &= a - ar^n \\
 S_n(1-r) &= a(1-r^n) \\
 S_n &= \frac{a(1-r^n)}{1-r}
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiply by r .

Subtract rS_n from S_n .

Take out the common factor.

Divide by $(1-r)$.

Problem-solving

You need to learn this proof for your exam.

Example 13

Example 3

Prove by contradiction that $\sqrt{2}$ is an irrational number.

Assumption: $\sqrt{2}$ is a rational number.
Then $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$ for some integers, a and b .
Also assume that this fraction cannot be reduced further: there are no common factors between a and b .
So $2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$ or $a^2 = 2b^2$.
This means that a^2 must be even, so a is also even.
If a is even, then it can be expressed in the form $a = 2n$, where n is an integer.
So $a^2 = 2b^2$ becomes $(2n)^2 = 2b^2$ which means $4n^2 = 2b^2$ or $2n^2 = b^2$.
This means that b^2 must be even, so b is also even.
If a and b are both even, they will have a common factor of 2.
This contradicts the statement that a and b have no common factors.
Therefore $\sqrt{2}$ is an irrational number.

Begin by assuming the original statement is false.

This is the definition of a rational number.

If a and b did have a common factor you could just cancel until this fraction was in its simplest form.

Square both sides and make a^2 the subject.

We proved this result in Example 2.

Again using the result from Example 2.

All even numbers are divisible by 2.

Finish your proof by concluding that the original statement must be true.

Example 4

Prove by contradiction that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

Assumption: there is a finite number of prime numbers.
List all the prime numbers that exist:
 $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n$
Consider the number
 $N = p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3 \times \dots \times p_n + 1$
When you divide N by any of the prime numbers $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n$ you get a remainder of 1. So none of the prime numbers $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n$ is a factor of N .
So N must either be prime or have a prime factor which is not in the list of all possible prime numbers.
This is a contradiction.
Therefore, there is an infinite number of prime numbers.

Begin by assuming the original statement is false.

This is a list of all possible prime numbers.

This new number is one more than the product of the existing prime numbers.

This contradicts the assumption that the list $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n$ contains all the prime numbers.

Conclude your proof by stating that the original statement must be true.